UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/541,121	06/30/2005	Michel Droux	273503US0PCT	7809		
	7590 06/23/200 AK, MCCLELLAND 1	EXAMINER				
1940 DUKE ST	TREET	HALPERN, MARK				
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1791			
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
				ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Office Action Summary		Application No. Applicant(s)					
		10/541,121	DF	ROUX ET AL.			
		Examiner	Ar	t Unit			
		Mark Halpern	17	91			
The MAILING DATE of this o Period for Reply	communication app	ears on the cover	sheet with the corre	espondence ac	ldress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of - If NO period for reply is specified above, the n - Failure to reply within the set or extended peri Any reply received by the Office later than thre earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	THE MAILING DA provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 f this communication. paximum statutory period we per for reply will, by statute, the months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COI 36(a). In no event, howev rill apply and will expire S cause the application to	MMUNICATION. er, may a reply be timely for the notice of the second ABANDONED (3)	led nailing date of this c 5 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
Responsive to communication This action is FINAL. Since this application is in concluded in accordance with the secondary control of the secondary.	2b)∐ This ondition for allowar	action is non-final	nal matters, prosec		e merits is		
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 18 5) Claim(s) is/are allowe 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are object 8) Claim(s) are subject to	- <u>20</u> is/are withdrawed. ed to. o restriction and/or	n from considerat					
9) The specification is objected 10) The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request that Replacement drawing sheet(s) 11) The oath or declaration is ob	_ is/are: a) ☐ acce any objection to the o including the correcti	epted or b) objedrawing(s) be held into on is required if the	n abeyance. See 37 drawing(s) is objecte	CFR 1.85(a). ed to. See 37 C	, ,		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5) <u> </u>	nterview Summary (PTo laper No(s)/Mail Date. lotice of Informal Paten hther:	·			

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgement is made of Amendment received 5/12/2008.
 Claim 1 is amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2) Claims 1, 4-7, 10-12, 15-17, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kajander (5,837,620).

Claims 1, 4, 10-11, 15: Kajander discloses the process of making a mat that includes mixing chopped glass fibers and cellulosic fibers into a slurry stream of whitewater that is cationic and placing the slurry on a wire, draining the slurry over the wire to create a web followed by drying the formed web in an oven of up to 350 °F (col.

Application/Control Number: 10/541,121 Page 3

Art Unit: 1791

3 lines 64-67). The process includes the application of a binder to the bed (entire document).

Claim 5: cationic dispersant is disclosed in Example 1, col. 4, lines 49-63.

Claims 6-7, 12: the product composition is disclosed in the Examples.

Claims 16-17; the cellulose treatment is disclosed.

3) Claims 2-3, 8-9, 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kajander.

Claims 2-3: Kajander is applied as above for claim 1, Kajander is silent on the cationic Neutrality, however, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made, that the cationic neutrality be in the claimed range depending on the product requirements.

Claims 8-9: Kajander is applied as above for claim 1, Kajnder is silent on the white water viscosity, however, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made, that the white water viscosity be in the claimed range depending on the product requirements.

Claims 13-14: Kajander is applied as above for claim 1, Kajander is silent on the product basis weight, however, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made, that the product basis weight be any weight including the claimed basis weight depending on the product requirements.

Response to Amendment

4) Amended Abstract is accepted.

Application/Control Number: 10/541,121 Page 4

Art Unit: 1791

5) Claims 1, 4-6, 8-12, 15-17 rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mirous, is withdrawn in view of amended claims.

- 6) Claims 2-3, 13-14 rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mirous, is withdrawn in view of amended claims.
- 7) Applicant's arguments with respect to pending claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8) Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/541,121 Page 5

Art Unit: 1791

9) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Halpern whose telephone no. is 571-272-1190.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 571-272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

/Mark Halpern/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1791